Aristotle

Introduction: Aristotle vs Plato

Let's set the stage for one of the greatest intellectual debates of all time—Aristotle vs Plato.

Plato believed that **reality is the shadow of idea** that what we see around us is merely an illusion, and the true reality exists in the world of perfect, eternal Forms. But Aristotle strongly disagreed. He argued that **idea** is **not** independent of matter, **but is present in matter itself.** For him, reality was not something far away—it was here, in the material world, waiting to be studied and understood.

Aristotle shifted the focus from **metaphysical** idealism to practical governance, observation, and logic. While Plato imagined radical reforms and ideal states, Aristotle was conservative. He placed great importance on institutions like property and the family, believing they were essential for social stability and order.

Teaching and the Lyceum

Aristotle's Lyceum—a place where philosophy met practical wisdom.

Aristotle taught at the Lyceum, and unlike Plato, who chased abstract ideals, Aristotle promoted empirical and logical inquiry. He believed that knowledge must come from common sense and experience, not just lofty ideas. His book "Politics" remains a foundational political text, shaping how we understand governance even today.

For Aristotle, a ruler needed to be worldly wise, not lost in abstract wisdom. He championed pragmatism—arguing that we must strive for the best practicable rather than sacrificing the good for the best. His famous remark, "I would rather take leave than hemlock," perfectly reflects this practical attitude.

Aristotle also gave us the timeless concept of the golden mean—virtue lies equidistant between **extremes.** For example, **courage** is the balance between cowardice and foolhardiness. This, he believed, was the secret to a balanced and ethical life.

Teleology: School of Destiny

Aristotle's most profound ideas—Teleology, the School of Destiny.

Aristotle believed in **teleology**—the idea that everything develops toward its own perfection and completeness. Nothing in nature is random. The function of a thing is to fulfil the purpose it was designed for. Just like a seed is meant to grow into a tree, every being and institution has a natural destiny.

This is where Aristotle's **functional theory** comes in. It explains that every natural process exists for a reason and serves a specific purpose.

And here's the beauty of it—this **teleological view** doesn't just apply to objects or living beings. It applies to humans, institutions, and even the **state.** A human's purpose is to achieve their highest potential, while the state's purpose is to help its citizens lead a good, virtuous life.

Theory of State and Sociability

Theory of State and Sociability—a concept that defines why we live together as communities.

Aristotle begins with a powerful statement: "Man **is by nature a social animal."** We are not driven solely by self-interest. Every community is established with a view to some good, and among all communities, the state is the highest good because it serves the well-being of the **entire** community.

He explains that the state comes into existence for the sake of life but continues for the sake of the good life. Without the state, man cannot fully





realize his potential. Anyone who lives outside the state is either a beast or a god, but not a man.

The state, for Aristotle, emerges through stages: individual \rightarrow family \rightarrow village \rightarrow state. Chronologically, man is prior to the state, but logically, the state is prior to man—a view that even echoes Socrates.

Three Communities: Family, Village, State

Three Communities: Family, Village, State—the foundation of his political philosophy.

Aristotle believed that human life evolves through three levels of community.

- 1. **Family:** This is the first and most basic community. It is based on a strict hierarchy —parent over child, master over servant and here, there is **no free choice.** The family exists to fulfill basic needs like survival and reproduction.
- Village: When several families come 2. together, they form a village. At this stage, sociability is purely instinctual—people cooperate out of necessity, not reason.
- State: The highest and most developed 3. community. Here, people engage in free speech, deliberation, and collective decision-making. This ability to debate, reason, and seek justice is what truly distinguishes humans from animals.

For Aristotle, the **state** is where humans achieve their full potential and live the good life.

Citizenship and Civic Republicanism

For Aristotle, citizenship was not a right, but a responsibility. And not everyone qualified as a citizen. He **excluded**:

- **Slaves**—because they lacked the **capacity** for reason.
- Women—as they were seen as family-

bound.

- **Children**—because they lacked **maturity**.
- Old people—since they were physically weak.

Who, then, were citizens? Adult Greek propertied men—the men of reason—those who had the leisure and luxury to actively participate in governance.

For Aristotle, participation is a duty, not just an identity. Citizens must engage in deliberation, adjudication, and the affairs of the state. This is the essence of **civic republicanism**—the idea that being political is a responsibility.

The modern philosopher Hannah Arendt beautifully echoed this when she described man as Zoon Politikon—a political animal defined not just by reason but by action.

Law and the Statesman

For Aristotle, law is not just a dictate or command. It is a means of maintaining equilibrium between competing and conflicting interests in society. Without law, governance would collapse into chaos or tyranny.

He makes a powerful distinction:

The **authority of the statesman** is not like that of a master. A master has absolute power over family and slaves, but a statesman is bound by the rule of law.

Aristotle believed that **law** is reason without passion. Just as reason guides us to what we should or shouldn't do, law does the same. Law and reason are two sides of the same coin.

Unlike Plato's **Philosopher King (PK)**, whose rule is personal, law reflects collective wisdom—it is time-tested, impartial, and enduring.

Slavery: Functional Utility and the State

Aristotle's controversial view on **Slavery**:







Functional Utility and the State—an idea that has drawn both admiration and criticism over centuries.

Aristotle believed that **slavery is natural and desirable**, and he based this on **functionalism** the belief that every individual has a natural role. According to him:

- Those who are **physically strong** are **fit for** slave labour.
- Those who are mentally strong are fit to be masters—they make decisions and have the courage to face consequences.

For Aristotle, slaves were essential for economic utility and productive labour. Why? Because their work freed the master to participate in civic affairs and focus on developing virtue.

He even argued that a slave, through the company of the master, might improve in reason and gain a chance to **develop virtue**.

But these ideas clash sharply with the **modern age** of human rights and dignity. Thinkers like Immanuel Kant rejected this view, insisting that each man is an end in himself, never a means to an end.

Property and Social Responsibility

Aristotle saw property not just as wealth, but as a source of motivation and social stability. He made a clear distinction between:

- Just property acquired through labour, which he respected.
- Unjust property acquired through **lending or exploitation**, which he opposed.

For Aristotle, property encourages self-work, creates stakes in stability, and supports men of reason. It also inspires charity—because those who own should give back.

He also classified **ownership types**:

- 1. **Common ownership, common use:** When everyone owns everything, no one feels responsible—leading to waste and unproductivity.
- 2. Common ownership, individual use: Illogical and impractical.
- 3. Individual ownership, common use: Productive and beneficial, since people maintain what they own while society still benefits.

Finally, Aristotle believed the rich should share, not just out of morality, but because man is a **social animal.** Sharing acknowledges the contributions of others and ensures stability and peace—an idea later echoed in Gandhi's trusteeship.

Constitution: Comparative Method and Classification

Aristotle was not just a philosopher but a political scientist. He used both inductive (particular to general) and **deductive** (general to particular) methods to study politics. By analyzing 158 constitutions, his approach became truly comparative—focused on real, functioning governments.

Classification of Regimes

Number of Rulers	Purpose – Interest of People	Corrupted Form – Interest of Ruling Class
One	Monarch / Philosopher King – Best	Tyranny – Worst
Few	Aristocracy	Oligarchy
Many	Polity – Best Practicable & Middle Path	Democracy – 2nd Worst

Polity: A balanced government based on moderation—where there is neither







- extreme wealth nor poverty, neither arrogance nor ignorance.
- Oligarchy: A rule of the rich that fails due to disobedience to law, as the poor distrust the wealthy.
- **Democracy:** A system of the masses, but must avoid the rise of demagogues who manipulate people.

Aristotle believed in proportionate equality (merit-based), not absolute equality. As he said: "It is unjust to treat equals unequally; it is equally unjust to treat unequals equally."

Justice: Practical and Proportionate

Unlike Plato, whose justice was abstract and philosophical, Aristotle's justice is practical. He believed that justice must operate in real life, with fairness tailored to situations and people.

Aristotle divided justice into **two key components**:

- **Distributive justice:** This is about 1. allocating honours, rewards, or resources based on merit. For example, the most deserving should receive the highest honour or share.
- Rectificatory justice: This focuses on harm, punishment, and compensation restoring balance when someone has been wronged.

Crucially, Aristotle supported proportionate justice, not blind equality. He argued that individuals should be treated based on what they deserve, not equally in all respects. Giving everyone the same, regardless of merit, would be as unjust as showing unfair favouritism.

Revolution: Causes and Cures

Aristotle believed that **revolutions don't just erupt** suddenly—they have deep roots. He identified **general causes** that apply to every society:

- A feeling of inequality, whether real or imagined.
- The universal passion for power and **privilege**—what Nietzsche later called the "will to power."
- Carelessness and corruption among leaders.
- A growing gap in income and wealth—a concern echoed by **Thomas Piketty** today.
- Giving undue importance to any one group or person—similar to Marx's class conflict.
- Rivalry between classes.
- **Inflow of foreigners**, changing social dynamics.
- Any disproportionate increase in power or **influence** within the state.

Aristotle noted that change is inevitable, but many resist it, leading to paradigm conflicts and instability.

Regime-Specific Causes:

- Monarchy: family quarrels, jealousy, conspiracies.
- Oligarchy: the poor conspiring against the rich.
- Democracy: the rise of demagogue leaders who exploit emotions.

Solutions (Cures):

Aristotle believed the way to prevent revolutions is to:

- Cultivate obedience to law.
- Educate citizens in civic virtues.
- **Inculcate patriotism** to unite people.

His insights remain remarkably relevant today, visible in movements like the **Arab Spring** or recent political unrest in West Africa.







Aristotle's Legacy

Aristotle's Legacy—a thinker who shaped how we understand politics, society, and life itself.

Aristotle is rightly called the **Father of Political** Science. Unlike Plato's abstract ideals, Aristotle grounded his philosophy in what is practicable what works in real life. He built a framework that still guides political thought today.

His contributions are immense:

- The comparative method—studying 158 constitutions to learn from real examples.
- The principle of the **rule of law**—where reason, not passion, governs.
- His **teleology**—believing everything moves toward its purpose and perfection.
- Nuanced theories of justice, citizenship, and revolution—all deeply connected to the realities of human nature.

Aristotle offered a model that bridges reason and action, reminding us that politics is not just about ideals, but about creating a society where people can live the good life.

Modern connections to Aristotle's concepts

1. Democracy & Rule of Law - The U.S. Power Struggle

Aristotle warned that democracy can slip into tyranny when leaders chase power unchecked. Look at the U.S. today—debates over executive overreach under Trump's second term have raised alarms. Aristotle would say, "When passion overtakes reason, law loses its power." His rule of law as "reason without passion" is the antidote to such chaos.

2. Deliberation & Civic Republicanism -Citizens' Assemblies

Have you seen the rise of citizen assemblies in Europe and beyond? These spaces allow ordinary people to debate policies—just like Aristotle's vision of collective deliberation. He believed the wisdom of many, when guided by reason, can surpass the brilliance of a few. He would smile at these experiments and say, "Here lies true democracy —debate, reason, and action!"

3. Citizenship & Social Change - Civil Rights

The **Civil Rights movement** in the U.S. expanded the meaning of citizenship beyond legal identity, bringing moral and social equality. This reflects Aristotle's belief that a **citizen must not only live** in the state but shape it through civic virtue. He'd call these activists true citizens, because they combined reason with action—the heart of Zoon Politikon.

4. Teleology & Governance - Welfare States

Scandinavian nations, with their focus on collective welfare and the good life, echo Aristotle's **teleology**—that the **state exists not just** for survival but for the highest good. He would see their policies—free education, healthcare, equality—as modern attempts to achieve the good **life for all,** which was his ultimate goal of politics.

5. AI Ethics & Aristotelian Virtue

Today, even AI scientists are borrowing Aristotle's wisdom! They are trying to design AI systems with virtues like fairness and temperance. Aristotle believed virtue is cultivated by practice and reason, and applying this to AI means machines could learn to act ethically. Imagine Aristotle saying, "If even machines can learn virtue, why not humans?"







PYQ

- 1. "Explain the Aristotelian view of politics. To what extent do you think it has contributed to the development of modern-day constitutional democracies?" 2021, 20
- 2. Everywhere, inequality is a cause of revolution- Aristotle. Comment. 2017, 15
- 3. Comment on: Aristotle's Conception of Equality. 2015, 10
- 4. Central to Aristotle's political thought is his classification of the different types of political constitutions in the Politics. Evaluate. 2014, 15
- 5. Comment on: "The State is a creation of nature and man is by nature a political animal."

 (Aristotle) 2011, 20
- 6. Attempt a critique a Aristotles ideas on slavery. 2006, 60
- 7. Comment on: The authority of the master and that of the statements are different from one another (Aristotle). 2004, 20
- 8. Comment on: The polis exists by nature and that it is prior to the individual (Aristotle). 2002, 20